



A6.E60

JACC March 9, 2010

Volume 55, issue 10A



CARDIAC ARRHYTHMIAS

IMPACT OF INDIVIDUAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES ON COMPOSITE AND INDIVIDUAL CARDIOVASCULAR OUTCOMES IN THE AFFIRM TRIAL

ACC Poster Contributions

Georgia World Congress Center, Hall B5

Sunday, March 14, 2010, 3:30 p.m.-4:30 p.m.

Session Title: Clinical Electrophysiology--Supraventricular Arrhythmias

Abstract Category: Clinical Electrophysiology--Supraventricular Arrhythmias

Presentation Number: 1081-148

Authors: *April Slee, Snehal Rathod, Ankush Verma, Tina Liu, Sanjeev Saksena, Electrophysiology Research Foundation, Warren, NJ, UMDNJ-RWJ Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ*

Background: The comparative effectiveness of rate & individual rhythm control strategies in atrial fibrillation (AF) on cardiovascular hospitalization (CVH) outcomes has not been assessed.

Methods: We used a composite mortality & CVH endpoint to compare amiodarone as primary therapy (Amio) to other rhythm therapy (OR) or rate control (Rate). CVH were also analyzed for potentially related clinical events.

Results: Amio pts (n=735) were compared to OR (n=1298) & Rate (n=2027) cohorts. Amio pts had more advanced coronary disease & NYHA class than the other cohorts ($p < .0001$). The composite endpoint differed when Amio was compared to Rate ($p < .001$) & when OR was compared to Rate ($p = .2$). Time to CVH was significantly shorter for Amio & OR compared to Rate (Figure, $p < .0001$) but Amio & OR were not significantly different from each other ($p = .14$). After adjustment for baseline imbalances, strongest predictors of mortality were pulmonary disease, NYHA class & coronary disease but not treatment strategy. After corrections for baseline clinical differences, treatment was a predictor of CVH with Rate & Amio being superior to OR ($P < .001$ & $p = .006$ respectively) & Rate was superior to Amio ($p < .001$).

Conclusions: 1. CVH are frequent with current AF therapies & patterns differ with treatment strategy. 2. Rate strategies reduce CVH to a greater degree than Amio or OR. 3. Clinical outcome assessed with this composite endpoint can identify additional limitations of current treatment strategies.

